

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

MARILYN GAYE PIETY FOLEY, Plaintiff,	:	CIVIL ACTION NO.:
	:	2:22-cv-01777-WB
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
DREXEL UNIVERSITY and ROGER KURTZ, Defendants.	:	
	:	
	:	

**DEFENDANT DREXEL UNIVERSITY’S
MOTION FOR POST-TRIAL RELIEF**

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 50(b), 50(c), 59(a), and 59(e), Defendant, Drexel University (“Drexel”), moves for judgment as a matter of law on Plaintiff’s claim of willfulness or, in the alternative, a new trial on all issues as to Plaintiff’s Equal Pay Act claim against Drexel, a new trial on damages, or a vacation or reduction of the liquidated damages award on account of Drexel’s supposed lack of good faith.¹ In support of this motion, Drexel incorporates by reference and relies upon the Memorandum of Law filed contemporaneously herewith.

Respectfully submitted:

LAMB McERLANE PC

TUCKER LAW GROUP

By: /s/ Maureen M. McBride
Maureen M. McBride
Andrew P. Stafford
Attorney I.D. Nos. 57668/324459
24 East Market Street, Box 565
West Chester, PA 19381
(610) 430-8000
mmcbride@lambmcerlane.com
astafford@lambmcerlane.com

By: /s/ Leslie Miller Greenspan
Joe H. Tucker, Jr.
Leslie Miller Greenspan
Hillary B. Weinstein
Attorney I.D. Nos. 56617/91639/209533
1800 Market Street, Suite 2500
Philadelphia, PA 19103
jtucker@tlgattorneys.com
lgreenspan@tlgattorneys.com
hwesinstein@tlgattorneys.com

¹ The judgment was entered only against Drexel. Prior to trial, summary judgment was granted in favor of Roger Kurtz. The instant motion is therefore filed on behalf of Drexel only.

Attorneys for Defendant, Drexel University

Date: February 27, 2025